STUDYOF THE USE OF PLATELET RICH PLASMA IN THE TREATMENT OF PERIORBITAL HYPERPIGMENTATIONAND WRINKLES Hesham Farouk Idriss, Akram Abdelmoneim Deghady*, Rania Elsaied Abdelmaksoud**, Sara Ahmed Khaled Mohamed Farouk Elbannan Department of Ophthalmology, Department of Clinical Pathology*, Department of Dermatology Venerology and Andrology**, Faculty of Medicine

Introduction

Periorbital hyperpigmentation (POH) is a common problem encountered in practice. It presents as bilateral round or semicircular homogenous macules of brown or dark brown hue in the periorbital region.

The causative factors include genetic or heredity, excessive pigmentation, postinflammatory hyperpigmentation secondary to atopic and allergic contact dermatitis, periorbital edema, excessive vascularity, and shadowing due to skin laxity and tear trough associated with aging. Periorbital wrinkles are caused by the muscular activity linked to facial mimicry, together with photoaging, cutaneous sagging and volume loss caused by osseous and subcutaneous tissue reabsorption and are considered an important component of facial aging.

Platelet-rich plasma (PRP) is an easy, efficient, and minimally invasive way to obtain a natural concentration of autologous growth factors (GFs). Production of PRP consists of centrifugation of autologous blood leading to separation and extraction of the plasma and buffy coat portion of the blood that contain the highest concentrations of platelets.



The aim of this study was: 1- To assess the efficacy of platelet rich plasma (PRP) in the treatment of periorbital hyperpigmentation and wrinkles.

2-Compare between the effect of two injections of PRP prepared by single centrifugation (leucocyte poor preparation) at one month interval versus a single injection of PRP prepared by double centrifugation (leucocyte rich preparation) using a split face strategy in the treatment of periorbital hyperpigmentation (POH)and wrinkles.

Subjects and Methods

This is a therapeutic trial that was conducted in Alexandria main university hospital.

17 Patients with periorbital hyperpigmentation and/or wrinkles with age ranging from 20-60year-old and skin type III-V (Fitzpatrick skin types) were recruited.

For each patient: In the right periorbital side 1-1,5 ml of PRP prepared by single centrifugation was injected intradermally followed by reinjection of another 1-1,5 ml of PRP prepared by single centrifugation intradermally 1 month later. In the left periorbital side 1-1,5 ml of PRP prepared by double centrifugation was injected intradermally. Then the patient was followed up after 6 months.

Assessment: Digital photos were taken for each patient before the injection then at 6 Table 2: Comparison between Right and Left according to patient satisfaction in months follow up. pigmentation (n = 17)One blinded investigator was asked to judge the improvement and give a rating from 0-5 (0: no improvement and 5: excellent improvement). **Patient satisfaction** Left Ζ Objective analysis of the POH and wrinkles using a specialized device before and Right 0.0 - 5.00.0 - 5.0after injections by a specialized dermatologist was done. Min. – Max. 2.82 ± 1.42 Mean ± SD. 2.12 ± 1.32 3.207* The patients were asked to rate their degree of satisfaction from 0-5 (0: totally 3.0(2.0-4.0)Median (IOR) 2.0(1.0-3.0)unsatisfied 5: totally satisfied). Results Table 1: Comparison between Right and Left according to face analyser (%) in wrinkles (n = 17) \mathbf{Z} р .210 0.226 Right Figure 2: Comparison between Right and Left according to patient satisfaction in pigmentation (n = 17).844 0.065 Conclusion .924* 0.003^{*} 🗧 Right 🛛 📒 Left • Platelet rich plasma is a safe and efficient method for the treatment of periorbital hyperpigmentation and wrinkles. • 2 sessions of PRP prepared by single centrifugation give better results than a single session of PRP prepared by double centrifugation.



Face analyser (%)	Right	Left	
Before			
Min. – Max.	10.0 - 42.0	9.0-41.0	
Mean ± SD.	26.65 ± 9.95	26.24 ± 9.38	1
Median (IQR)	27.0 (20.0 - 35.0)	26.0 (19.0 - 33.0)	
After			
Min. – Max.	8.0 - 39.0	9.0-41.0	
Mean ± SD.	24.41 ± 9.89	25.06 ± 9.52	1
Median (IQR)	25.0 (17.0 - 30.0)	25.0 (18.0 - 31.0)	
p ₁	< 0.001*	0.002^{*}	
Decrease	2.24 ± 1.15	1.18 ± 1.07	2



Figure 1: Comparison between Right and Left according to face analyser (%) in wrinkles (n = 17)

MEDICINE

• We need further studies on the number of sessions needed to reach optimum results and satisfaction for the patient.

> 2021©Alexandria Faculty of Medicine CC-BY-NC

0.001*

