### COMPARISON BETWEEN COMBINED PENETRATING TRABECULOTOMY-TRABECULECTOMY AND NON-PENETRATING TRABECULECTOMY IN THE SURGICAL TREATMENT OF PRIMARY CONGENITAL GLAUCOMA Amin Helmy Malaty, Ahmed Hossam Abd Allah, Abd Elhamid Shaker Elhofi, Caroline George Nasif Sawiris

Department of Ophthalmology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Alexandria

### Introduction

Primary congenital glaucoma (PCG) in Egypt accounts for 26-29% of childhood blindness.

Surgical intervention is the primary treatment of PCG, with multiple options according to the case severity and expected prognosis. One of these is Combined Trabeculotomy-Trabeculectomy (CTT) which has the advantage of gaining a dual approach: targeting the developmentally abnormal angle structure, and an additional external filtration mechanism. With reported greater success than with either procedure performed alone.

Non-Penetrating Deep Sclerectomy (NPDS) is a technically more demanding nonpenetrating glaucoma surgery, with some potential advantages as: increase in the intraocular pressure-lowering effect predictability, creation of new outflow pathways, and the non-penetration of the anterior chamber with avoidance of iridectomy.



and Non-Penetrating Deep Sclerectomy in the surgical treatment of primary congenital glaucoma regarding their safety and efficacy.

### **Subjects and Methods**

#### Subjects:

This was a retrospective study that included sixty eyes; with the diagnosis of PCG selected randomly from the files of the ophthalmology department of Alexandria University. The cases were divided in to two groups each comprises 30 eyes, one of them were subjected to Combined Trabeculotomy-Trabeculectomy while the other group cases were subjected to Non-Penetrating Deep Sclerectomy as their primary surgical intervention.

#### **METHODS:**

After assuring the confidentiality of the records, and gaining the approval of the local ethics committee of the faculty medicine, a retrospective comparative study of preoperative, postoperative and follow up visits findings was done for both study groups. With main outcome studied is the postoperative intraocular pressure and complications in both groups.

## Results

**Table 1:** Comparison between the two studied groups according to IOP (mmHg)

| IOP (mmHg)                    | CTT                                     | $\frac{NPDS}{(n-30)}$ | U       | Р       |
|-------------------------------|---|-----------------------|---------|---------|
| Preoperative                  | (II = 50)                               | (II = 30)             |         |         |
| Min – Max                     | 21.0 - 36.0                             | 21.0 - 38.0           |         |         |
| $\frac{Mean + SD}{Mean + SD}$ | 21.0  30.0<br>$25 \ 57 + 4 \ 52$        | 27.0 + 5.04           | 309.0*  | 0.036*  |
| Median (IOR)                  | $23.57 \pm 4.52$<br>23.50 (22.0 - 28.0) | 2650(240-320)         | 507.0   |         |
| 1 week                        | 23.30 (22.0 20.0)                       | 20.30 (21.0 32.0)     |         |         |
| Min. – Max.                   | 0.0-26.0                                | 2.0 - 8.0             |         | <0.001* |
| Mean ± SD.                    | $9.73 \pm 6.44$                         | $4.53 \pm 1.48$       | 176.0*  |         |
| Median (IQR)                  | 8.0 (6.0 - 12.0)                        | 5.0 (3.0 – 5.0)       |         |         |
| 1 month                       |   |                       |         |         |
| Min. – Max.                   | 0.0 - 26.0                              | 3.0-15.0              |         | 0.766   |
| Mean ± SD.                    | $9.0 \pm 6.55$                          | $7.53 \pm 2.75$       | 430.0   |         |
| Median (IQR)                  | 8.0 (5.0 - 10.0)                        | 8.0 (6.0 - 9.0)       | 1       |         |
| 3 month                       | /                                       |                       |         |         |
| Min. – Max.                   | 1.0-34.0                                | 4.0-18.0              |         | 0.074   |
| Mean $\pm$ SD.                | $8.80 \pm 7.14$                         | $9.17 \pm 2.83$       | 331.0   |         |
| Median (IQR)                  | 8.0 (5.0 - 10.0)                        | 9.0 (8.0 - 10.0)      | ]       |         |
| 6 month                       |   |                       |         |         |
| Min. – Max.                   | 0.0 - 30.0                              | 6.0 - 18.0            |         | 0.049*  |
| Mean $\pm$ SD.                | $9.53\pm7.01$                           | $10.27\pm2.61$        | 318.50* |         |
| Median (IQR)                  | 8.0 (5.0 - 12.0)                        | 10.0 (8.0 - 11.0)     |         |         |
| 1 year                        |   |                       |         |         |
| Min. – Max.                   | 2.0 - 38.0                              | 8.0 - 18.0            |         | 0.010*  |
| Mean $\pm$ SD.                | $10.17\pm7.03$                          | $11.0 \pm 2.36$       | 277.5*  |         |
| Median (IQR)                  | 8.0 (7.0 - 11.0)                        | 10.0 (10.0 - 12.0)    |         |         |
| 2 year                        |   |                       |         |         |
| Min. – Max.                   | 3.0 - 34.0                              | 8.0 - 20.0            |         | 0.044*  |
| Mean ± SD.                    | $10.70 \pm 6.34$                        | $11.73 \pm 2.59$      | 317.0   |         |
| Median (IQR)                  | 10.0 (6.0 - 12.0)                       | 12.0 (10.0 - 12.0)    |         |         |





Table 2: Comparison between the two studied groups according to the post-operative complications

|                           | CTT<br>(n = 30) |      | NPDS<br>(n = 30) |      | $\chi^2$ | <sup>FE</sup> p |
|---------------------------|-----------------|------|------------------|------|----------|-----------------|
|                           | No.             |      | No.              |      |          |                 |
| Complications             |                 |      |                  |      |          |                 |
| No                        | 28              | 93.3 | 24               | 80.0 | 2 200    | 0.254           |
| Yes                       | 2               | 6.7  | 6                | 20.0 | 2.308    |                 |
| Hyphaema                  | 0               | 0.0  | 4                | 13.3 | 4.286    | 0.112           |
| Incarcerated iris         | 0               | 0.0  | 3                | 10.0 | 3.158    | 0.237           |
| Drawn up pupil            | 2               | 6.7  | 1                | 3.3  | 0.351    | 1.000           |
| Suprachoroidal hemorrhage | 1               | 3.3  | 0                | 0.0  | 1.017    | 1.000           |

# Conclusion

- In experienced surgeons' hands both Combined Trabeculotomy-Trabeculectomy (CTT) and Non-Penetrating Deep Sclerectomy (NPDS) had comparable overall success rates and were found to be efficient in lowering the intraocular pressure in primary congenital glaucoma (PCG) cases.
- Non-Penetrating Deep Sclerectomy (NPDS) had insignificant postoperative complications in our study and can be considered a safe procedure added to the armamentarium of primary congenital glaucoma (PCG) management.

