SURGICAL VERSUS ULTRASOUND GUIDED ASPIRATION OR DRAINAGE OF DEEP NECK SPACE ABSCESSES: A RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIAL Alaa Hazem Gaafar, *Mohamed Mahmoud Elshafei, Mostafa Magdy Donia, Abdelrahman Mostafa Hassan

Department of Otorhinolaryngology,*Department of Diagnostic & Interventional Radiology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Alexandria.

Introduction

Deep neck space abscesses are considered to be one of life-threatening emergencies in the head and neck region. Traditionally, the main treatment for deep neck space abscesses was surgical drainage with sufficient antimicrobial treatment. Intraoral or external approaches can be used for surgical drainage of neck abscesses. Despite the effectiveness of these procedures, they have some remarkable disadvantages. General anesthesia is required, which adds a considerable risk for patients especially those with bad general condition. Intraoral approaches have their limitations like poor visualization and airway compromise. External approaches usually necessitate neck incisions and exploration, which exposes patients to the risk of injury to vital neurovascular structures and a cosmetically unpleasant scar.

Recent literature suggested a less invasive and an effective alternative to surgical incision and drainage by performing ultrasound-guided drainage of neck abscesses. It abolishes most of the drawbacks of incision and drainage and has been proven to be effective in certain cases.

Aim of the work

The aim of this study is to compare surgical drainage versus ultrasound-guided aspiration or drainage of well-defined deep neck space abscesses, using a randomized controlled clinical trial design.

Patients

Inclusion criteria

Evidence of a well-defined deep neck space abscess on contrast-enhanced computed tomography scan (CECT).

Exclusion criteria

Patients with airway compromise.

Retropharyngeal abscesses.

Deep neck space abscess associated with neck neoplasm.

Deep neck space abscess complicated with necrotizing fasciitis.

IN

Ea 1. 2.F Ne Air De 3.L Ro 4. Со Ult Ра of Tre 1)/ A-B-Pu su 2) spa gro Gr Gr D

													_
EIUODO					Table (2): Comparison between the two studied groups								
ch patient was subjected to the following:							according to need of 2 nd drainage						
History taking.						Ш.,	Need of 2nd due in eac		Group A		Group B		
Physical examination.						Ш.,	Need of 2 nd drainag	e (n = n)	= 10)	(n =	$(\mathbf{n} = 10)$		
eck examination.						Ш.,	N	N0.		NO.	%		
rway assessment.							NO Voc	12	/5.0	14	87.5	0.654	
ntal work up.							105	4	25.0) 2	12.3		
aboratory evaluation:						Ш.,	FE: Fisher Exact n: n value	for comparing	between f	he studied group	,		
outine laboratory investig	gations.						TE. FISICI Exact p. p value		between t	ne studied group	, 		
Radiological evaluation													
imputed tomography wit	th contrast	t enhance	ement (C	ECT).		Ш.,	Table (3): Con	nparison be	tween t	the two stud	ed groups		
trasound neck.	a a btaina	d ::				Ш.,	according to leng	gth of hospi	tal stay	in days		-	_
informic dental X-ray was obtained in cases with suspected dental source						Ш.,	Length of	Group	Α	Group B	T	n	
intection.						Ш.,	hospital stay	(n = 16	6)	(n = 16)	C	Р	
eatment approach						Ш.,	Min. – Max.	1.0 - 5	.0	3.0 - 7.0			
Annoucs. Empirical broad-spectrum antibiotics							Mean ± SD.	$\frac{2.63 \pm 1}{2.0(1.0)}$. <mark>54</mark>	4.81 ± 1.47	43.0 [*]	0.001*	
Culture directed antibiotics:							Median (IQR)	3.0 (1.0 –	3.50) 4	50 (4.0 – 6	.0)		
s obtained during intervention either by ultrasound guided aspiration or rgery was sent immediately for culture and drug sensitivity. Procedure: Patients who met the inclusion criteria with a well-defined neck							U: Mann Whitney testIQR: Inter quartile rangep: p value for comparing between the studied groups*: Statistically significant at $p \le 0.05$						
ace abscess were recruit	ted in the	study and	l block-ra	ndomized	to two		Conclusion	1					_
oups. A: Elltrasound-quid	odacnirati	on					Ultrasound-guided as	piration is	a safe	and an eff	ective alte	rnative t	0
roun B: Surgical incision and drainage							surgical incision and drainage in unilocular or multilocular deep neck						
							space abscesses. In a	ddition, it	is asso	ciated with	shorter ho	spital sta	iy
esults						i	and thus may reduce	healthcare	costs.				
						-	There must be good coordination between the interventional radiologist						
Table (1): Comparison between the two studied groups according to Formation							and otolaryngologist especially in judging whether further needle						
scar formation						i	aspiration would be	safe or c	pen si	urgical incis	ion and d	rainage	is
Scar formation	(n = 15)		(n = 15)		р		needed.						
	No.	%	No.	%			We recommend a tri	ial of Ultra	sound-	guided aspi	ration in a	Il cases o)t
No	15	93.8	0	0.0	<0.001*		deep neck space abs	cesses in c	ase of	absence of	airway co	mpromise	Э,
Yes	1	6.3	16	100.0	<0.001		necrotizing fascilitis or	retrophary	ngeal s	space affecti	on.		
p: p value for Chi square test for comparing between the studied groups $*$: Statistically significant at $p \le 0.05$							ALEXANDRIA BACHTY OF LL IN		201	9©Alexandria F CC-B	aculty of Med Y-NC	licine	
							MEDICINE	كلتتالظن					