### COMPARATIVE STUDY BETWEEN THE EFFICACY OF INTRALESIONAL BLEOMYCIN INJECTION VERSUS MICRONEEDLING-ASSISTED TOPICAL BLEOMYCIN SPRAYING IN TREATMENT OF PLANTAR WARTS

# Ashraf Mahmoud Hamza, Yehia Farouk Al Garem , Shaimaa Sobhy Mohamed Taha Khalil Department of Dermatology, Venereology and Andrology, Faculty of Medicine, Alexandria University, Egypt.

## Introduction

### Methods

Plantar warts are benign epithelial tumors affecting the plantar surface of the foot. They are caused by various strains of HPV. They may cause pain and disfigurement. They mostly occur in children older than five years, adolescents and immunosuppressed patients. Treatment of plantar warts is a therapeutic challenge regarding both tolerability and efficacy.

Bleomycin is used for the treatment of severe types of warts. The bleomycins are a group of water-soluble glycopeptides extracted from a strain of Streptomyces verticillus with an anti-bacterial, antiviral and cytotoxic activity. Intralesional bleomycin has been reported to be a promising approach and effective treatment of resistant warts, with cure rates from 63% to 100%. The mechanism of action of bleomycin in the treatment of warts is not clear. Bleomycin has been observed to inhibit DNA and protein synthesis and hence can induce tissue death.

Microneedling uses fine needles to puncture the epidermis. Needling of plantar wart induces local inflammation and a subsequent cell mediated immune response against human papillomavirus. It is used also as a method of transdermal drug delivery by creation of micropores through the stratum corneum and this technique enhance the absorption of drugs like bleomycin, enhances the efficacy and decreases the period of therapy.

## Aim of the work

The aim of this study is to compare between the efficacy of microneedling-assisted multipuncture technique with topical bleomycin spraying and intralesional injection of bleomycin in treatment of plantar warts.

## **Patients**

Sixty patients of both genders diagnosed as plantar warts divided in to four groups with group A consists of twenty patients will be treated with intralesional bleomycin injection, group B consists of twenty patients will be treated with combined microneedling with topical spraying of bleomycin, group C consists of ten patients will be treated by intralesional injection of normal saline and group D consists of ten patients will be treated by microneedling with topical spraying of normal saline.

				Table (2):Comparis	on between the	different studied	groups accordir	ng to number of	treatment	
<b>Group A</b> will be the using insulin syring the maximum to the second will be 1.1	Number of treatment sessions	Group A (n = 20)	Group B (n = 20)	Group C (n = 10)	Group D (n = 10)	Test of Sig.	р			
Group B will be to	a with tonical spraving	Min. – Max.	1.0 - 4.0	1.0 - 4.0	4.0 - 4.0	3.0-4.0				
of bleomycin and	Mean ± SD.	$3.30 \pm 0.92$	$2.65 \pm 0.93$	$4.0 \pm 0.0$	$3.80 \pm 0.42$	H=	< 0.001*			
maximum of 4 se	Median (IQR)	4.0 (3.0 – 4.0)	2.0 (2.0 – 3.5)	4.0 (-)	4.0 (4.0 – 4.0)	19.491*				
Group C will be tr	<b>p</b> <sub>1</sub>		0.027*	0.033*	0.165					
weeks for a maxim	Sig. bet. grps.		$p_2 < 0.001^*, p_3 = 0.001^*, p_4 = 0.520$		$_{4} = 0.520$					
of normal saline a maximum of 4 se	reated with com and followed by ssions.	occlusion for 2 hou	rs, every 2 weeks for a	Figure (2):Comparest Sessions.	rison between t	the different st	udied groups a	ccording to nu	mber of tro	eatment
Table (1): Compar	ison between the d	ifferent studied groups	according to outcome	4.0 - 3.5 - 3.0 -	Í	_			1	
Outcome	(n = 20) (n No. % No.	$\begin{array}{c c} n = 20 \\ \hline n = 20 \\ \hline n = 0 \\ \hline n = 0 \\ \hline n = 10 \\ \hline n = $	$\begin{array}{c c} (n = 10) \\ \hline No. \ \% \end{array} \qquad \chi^2 \qquad {}^{MC}p \qquad \qquad$	2.5 -						
No response	3 15.0 0	0.0 9 90.0	2 20.0	15-						
Partial resolution	1 5.0 2		$2   20.0   29.041^*   < 0.001^*  $	1.0 -						
MCn	16 80.0 18	90.0 1 10.0	<u>6</u> 60.0	0.5			_			
Sig. bet. grps.	МСр	$p_2 < 0.001^*, {}^{MC}p_3 = 0.066, {}^{MC}p_$	$1^{10}p_4 = 0.006^*$	0.0 Group	A G	roup B	Group C	Group	D	
Figure(1): Compariso	on between the diffe	erent studied groups acc	cording to outcome.			Conclus	sion			
100 90 80 70	1	и Схонр А и Схонр	B Group C Group D	Microneedli treatment o Microneedli	ng assisted f of plantar wa	topical bleor rts. s pain. enha	nycin sprayi nces drug d	ng is highly elivery. deci	effective reases	e in
80 - 50 - 30 - 20 - 10 -				number of t		ssions need	ed and incre 2019©Alexand C	ria Faculty of M CC-BY-NC	edicine	

