

# RISK FACTORS FOR PROGRESSION OF TRAUMATIC INTRACRANIAL HAEMORRAGE

Ihab Helmy Zidan, Tarek Ahmed Rayan, Osama Deif, Rodel Kiprop Serrem

Department of Neurosurgery, Faculty of Medicine, Alexandria University

## INTRODUCTION

The World Health Organisation (WHO) considers Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) to be a worldwide menace that cuts across all the continents. It is a major public health problem due to its high incidence and socioeconomic burden to the society. In Egypt, injuries burden is significant as it was the fifth leading cause of death in 2004. Also, it's considered as a hidden epidemic due to under-reporting. Progressive intracranial haemorrhage is a common occurrence in patients with intracranial haemorrhage (ICH). It increases the probability of secondary injury to the brain. Previous studies have shown that the final size of the haematoma has a greater impact to the patient outcome as compared to the initial size of the ICH. The prognosis is worse with patients with progressive intracranial haemorrhage (PIH).

## AIM OF THE WORK

The aim of this study was to determine the incidence of PIH in patients with TBI and the potential risk factors that cause PIH.

## PATIENTS AND METHODS

The study consisted of 91 patients admitted to the emergency department of Alexandria University with ICH from July 2022 to December 2022. It included 63 males and 28 females. Three head CT scans were done to the patients within a period of 24 hours. The 1<sup>st</sup> CT was taken at admission 2<sup>nd</sup> taken at 4-8hrs and 3<sup>rd</sup> at 24 hrs after admission. The volume of the haematoma was calculated using the Tada formula,  $V = a \times b \times c \times \frac{1}{2}$ . History and physical exam was done and past medical history including medication history was taken. Coagulation profile and a complete blood count was done including liver function tests (LFTs). Patients were followed up with CT scans and GCS assessment.

## RESULTS

**Table 1:** Comparison between PIH at 4-8 hrs and at 24 hrs with laboratory tests

Laboratory	PIH (4-8 hrs.)	Test of sig.	P	PIH (24hrs.) (n=7)	Test of sig.	P
	No. (%)			No. (%)		
<b>PLT</b>	(n=28)			(n=7)		
Normal®	19 (67.9%)	c <sup>2</sup> = 5.081	MCp= 0.063	3 (42.9%)	c <sup>2</sup> = 3.799	MCp= 0.135
Decrease	9 (32.1%)			4 (57.1%)		
Increase	0 (0.0%)			0 (0.0%)		
Min. – Max.	112.0 – 378.0	U= 509.500*	<0.001*	110.0 – 198.0	U= 109.500*	0.045*
Mean ± SD.	185.39 ± 57.42			148.57 ± 32.76		
Median (IQR)	184(144.0–216.5)			131.0 (130.0–170.50)		
<b>PTT</b>	(n=28)			(n=7)		
Normal®	19 (66.6%)	c <sup>2</sup> = 14.143*	FEp= 0.001*	7 (100%)	c <sup>2</sup> = 0.314	FEp= 1.000
Increase	9 (33.3%)			0 (0%)		
Min. – Max.	25.40 – 41.30			27.40 – 31.80		
Mean ± SD.	35.31 ± 6.01	U= 337.500	0.025*	28.93 ± 2.48	U= 54.000	0.231
Median (IQR)	38.70 (28.20–40.20)			27.60 (27.50–29.70)		
<b>PT</b>	(n=28)			(n=7)		
Normal®	12 (42.9%)	c <sup>2</sup> = 17.088*	<0.001*	4 (57.1%)	c <sup>2</sup> = 0.647	FEp= 0.417
Increase	16 (57.1%)			3 (42.9%)		
Min. – Max.	11.30 – 34.50			13.10 – 14.80		
Mean ± SD.	15.04 ± 4.36	U= 389.0*	<0.001*	13.83 ± 0.61	U= 187.0	0.136
Median (IQR)	14.10 (13.20–15.20)			13.80 (13.40–14.15)		
<b>INR</b>	(n=28)			(n=7)		
Normal®	15 (53.6%)	c <sup>2</sup> = 13.645*	<0.001*	6 (85.7%)	c <sup>2</sup> = 0.178	FEp= 1.000
Increase	13 (46.4%)			1 (14.3%)		
Min. – Max.	0.99 – 3.95			1.0 – 1.45		
Mean ± SD.	1.41 ± 0.60	U= 341.0*	<0.001*	1.19 ± 0.14	U= 202.500	0.211
Median (IQR)	1.23 (1.14–1.47)			1.20 (1.15–1.20)		
<b>AST</b>	(n=28)			(n=7)		
Normal®	12 (42.8%)	c <sup>2</sup> = 0.782	0.376	7 (100%)	c <sup>2</sup> = 3.251	FEp= 0.124
Increase	16 (57.2%)			0 (0%)		
Min. – Max.	21.0 – 110.0			19.0 – 43.0		
Mean ± SD.	51.37 ± 26.42	U= 146.0	0.442	35.0 ± 11.31	U= 48.0	0.368
Median (IQR)	47.0 (35.0–55.50)			39.0 (27.0–43.0)		
<b>ALT</b>	(n=28)			(n=7)		
Normal®	22 (78.6%)	c <sup>2</sup> = 2.393	FEp= 0.150	7 (100.0%)	c <sup>2</sup> = 1.597	FEp= 0.556
Increase	6 (21.4%)			0 (0.0%)		
Min. – Max.	15.0 – 201.0			19.0 – 45.0		
Mean ± SD.	43.32 ± 46.52	U= 53.0*	0.05 *	26.50 ± 12.48	U= 36.500	0.138
Median (IQR)	24.0 (19.50–35.0)			21.0 (19.0–34.0)		

**Table 2:** Comparison between PIH 4-8 hrs and 24hrs with demographic and clinical data

Demographic and clinical data	PIH 4-8hrs (n=28)		Test of sig.	P	PIH (24hrs.) (n=7)		Test of sig.	P
	No.	%			No.	%		
Age (years)								
0 – 40®	13	44.9	c <sup>2</sup> =	<0.001*	2	28.6	C <sup>2</sup> =4.713*	F <sub>p</sub> =0.048*
41 – >60	15	56.5	13.485*		5	71.4		
Min. – Max.	0.83 – 80.0			0.008*	15.0 – 72.0		U= 179.500	0.088
Mean ± SD.	40.28 ± 22.06				47.86 ± 23.41			
Median (IQR)	44.0 (22.0–54.50)				55.0 (34.0–62.50)			
Past medical history								
HTN	7	25.0	c <sup>2</sup> =11.246*	0.003*	4	57.1	c <sup>2</sup> =10.158*	0.006*
DM	4	14.3	c <sup>2</sup> =0.493	F <sub>p</sub> =0.479	0	0.0	c <sup>2</sup> =0.731	F <sub>p</sub> =1.000
DVT	1	3.6	c <sup>2</sup> =0.034	F <sub>p</sub> =1.000	0	0.0	c <sup>2</sup> =0.259	F <sub>p</sub> =1.000
HD	2	7.1	c <sup>2</sup> =2.159	F <sub>p</sub> =0.199	2	28.6	c <sup>2</sup> =5.948	0.034*
Liver disease	4	11.4	c <sup>2</sup> =0.011	F <sub>p</sub> =1.000	0	0.0	c <sup>2</sup> =0.936	F <sub>p</sub> =1.000
Medication								
Waf	3	10.7	0.361	F <sub>p</sub> =0.672	0	0.0	c <sup>2</sup> =0.535	F <sub>p</sub> =1.000
HTNives (non compliant)	5	17.9	6.067*	0.020*	4	57.1	c <sup>2</sup> =11.375*	0.004*
GLY	3	10.7	0.361	F <sub>p</sub> =0.672	0	0.0	c <sup>2</sup> =0.535	F <sub>p</sub> =1.000
Asprin	2	7.1	2.159	F <sub>p</sub> =0.199	2	28.6	c <sup>2</sup> =5.948	0.034*
Lesions at admission								
EDH	6	21.4	1.140	0.286	1	14.3	c <sup>2</sup> =0.262	F <sub>p</sub> =1.000
SAH	7	25	7.566*	0.006*	1	14.3	c <sup>2</sup> =0.262	F <sub>p</sub> =1.000
TICH	8	28.5	0.216	0.642	3	57.1	c <sup>2</sup> =0.059	F <sub>p</sub> =1.000
IVH	0	0.0	0.632	F <sub>p</sub> =1.000	0	0.0	c <sup>2</sup> =0.084	F <sub>p</sub> =1.000
SDH	2	7.1	2.476	0.116	1	14.3	c <sup>2</sup> =0.330	F <sub>p</sub> =1.000
Combined	5	17.9	8.566*	0.004*	2	28.6	c <sup>2</sup> =0.342	F <sub>p</sub> =1.000

## CONCLUSION

- The incidence of PIH is high and is under reported especially if the 1<sup>st</sup> CT is done after 4 hours.
- The age range of 40-60 years is a vulnerable age to have PIH as they have comorbidities but are also the 2<sup>nd</sup> highest group involved in RTA.
- A previous history of hypertension and non-compliant to medication, patients with heart disease on aspirin are a risk factor to PIH.
- SAH has a high risk of progression causing PIH.
- Deranged coagulation profile should be viewed as a risk factor to PIH.
- Patients with combined site of injury and lesions are at higher risk of developing PIH.